Small Nijenhuis tensors on almost complex manifolds with no complex structure

Scott Wilson

joint with Luis Fernandez, Tobias Shin



• M smooth (compact) manifold of dimension 2n.

- M smooth (compact) manifold of dimension 2n.
- Almost complex structure: $J: TM \longrightarrow TM$; $J^2 = -Id$.

- M smooth (compact) manifold of dimension 2n.
- Almost complex structure: $J: TM \longrightarrow TM$; $J^2 = -Id$.

Examples: (M, J) complex manifold (i.e. holomorphic atlas).

- M smooth (compact) manifold of dimension 2n.
- Almost complex structure: $J: TM \longrightarrow TM$; $J^2 = -Id$.

- M smooth (compact) manifold of dimension 2n.
- Almost complex structure: $J: TM \longrightarrow TM$; $J^2 = -Id$.

- M smooth (compact) manifold of dimension 2n.
- Almost complex structure: $J: TM \longrightarrow TM$; $J^2 = -Id$.

Note that the fiber is non-compact: e.g. $J_t = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & t \\ -1/t & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

- M smooth (compact) manifold of dimension 2n.
- Almost complex structure: $J: TM \longrightarrow TM$; $J^2 = -Id$.

Note that the fiber is non-compact: e.g. $J_t = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & t \\ -1/t & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. For (n = 1), the fiber is $\mathbb{R}^2 - \{ \text{line} \}$.

- *M* smooth (compact) manifold of dimension 2*n*.
- Almost complex structure: $J: TM \longrightarrow TM$; $J^2 = -Id$.

Note that the fiber is non-compact: e.g. $J_t = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & t \\ -1/t & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. For (n = 1), the fiber is $\mathbb{R}^2 - \{ \text{line} \}$.

In general, the fiber is non-compact space of dimension $n(2n) = 2n^2$.

 $N_J \equiv 0,$

$$N_J \equiv 0,$$

where the *Nijenhuis tensor* N_J is defined by

$$N_J(X, Y) := [X, Y] + J[JX, Y] + J[X, JY] - [JX, JY].$$

$$N_J \equiv 0,$$

where the *Nijenhuis tensor* N_J is defined by

$$N_J(X, Y) := [X, Y] + J[JX, Y] + J[X, JY] - [JX, JY].$$

In this case, we say J is *integrable*.

$$N_J \equiv 0,$$

where the *Nijenhuis tensor* N_J is defined by

$$N_J(X, Y) := [X, Y] + J[JX, Y] + J[X, JY] - [JX, JY].$$

In this case, we say J is *integrable*.

There are many other equivalent ways to formulate integrability.

• There are topological obstructions to the existence of an almost complex structure.

- There are topological obstructions to the existence of an almost complex structure.
- In low dimensions $(2n \le 10)$, these can be written in terms of characteristic classes.

- There are topological obstructions to the existence of an almost complex structure.
- In low dimensions $(2n \le 10)$, these can be written in terms of characteristic classes.
- Assuming existence, we can ask for an integrable almost complex structure.

- There are topological obstructions to the existence of an almost complex structure.
- In low dimensions $(2n \le 10)$, these can be written in terms of characteristic classes.
- Assuming existence, we can ask for an integrable almost complex structure.
- In real dimension 2: every almost complex structure is integrable.

- There are topological obstructions to the existence of an almost complex structure.
- In low dimensions $(2n \le 10)$, these can be written in terms of characteristic classes.
- Assuming existence, we can ask for an integrable almost complex structure.
- In real dimension 2: every almost complex structure is integrable.
- In real dimension 4: there are (further) topological obstructions to the existence of an integrable structure.

- There are topological obstructions to the existence of an almost complex structure.
- In low dimensions $(2n \le 10)$, these can be written in terms of characteristic classes.
- Assuming existence, we can ask for an integrable almost complex structure.
- In real dimension 2: every almost complex structure is integrable.
- In real dimension 4: there are (further) topological obstructions to the existence of an integrable structure.
 - Kodaira's classification of surfaces.

- There are topological obstructions to the existence of an almost complex structure.
- In low dimensions $(2n \le 10)$, these can be written in terms of characteristic classes.
- Assuming existence, we can ask for an integrable almost complex structure.
- In real dimension 2: every almost complex structure is integrable.
- In real dimension 4: there are (further) topological obstructions to the existence of an integrable structure.
 - Kodaira's classification of surfaces.

Buchdahl's study of the intersection pairing on $Ker(\bar{\partial}\partial)$.

- There are topological obstructions to the existence of an almost complex structure.
- In low dimensions $(2n \le 10)$, these can be written in terms of characteristic classes.
- Assuming existence, we can ask for an integrable almost complex structure.
- In real dimension 2: every almost complex structure is integrable.
- In real dimension 4: there are (further) topological obstructions to the existence of an integrable structure.
 - Kodaira's classification of surfaces. Buchdahl's study of the intersection pairing on $Ker(\bar{\partial}\partial)$.
- In real dimension 6: It is unknown whether there are any further obstructions to the existence of an integrable structure.

- There are topological obstructions to the existence of an almost complex structure.
- In low dimensions $(2n \le 10)$, these can be written in terms of characteristic classes.
- Assuming existence, we can ask for an integrable almost complex structure.
- In real dimension 2: every almost complex structure is integrable.
- In real dimension 4: there are (further) topological obstructions to the existence of an integrable structure.
 - Kodaira's classification of surfaces. Buchdahl's study of the intersection pairing on $Ker(\bar{\partial}\partial)$.
- In real dimension 6: It is unknown whether there are any further obstructions to the existence of an integrable structure.
 - There are almost complex 6-manifolds for which there is no known complex structure (one example below).

Can we choose J so that $N_J \equiv 0$?

Can we choose J so that $N_J \equiv 0$?

to the question:

Can we choose J so that $N_J \equiv 0$?

to the question:

Can we chose J so that N_J is arbitrarily small?

• We'll present examples of compact almost complex manifolds with no complex structure (2n = 4) and a 1-parameter family of almost complex structures such that $N_{J_t} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

- We'll present examples of compact almost complex manifolds with no complex structure (2n = 4) and a 1-parameter family of almost complex structures such that $N_{J_t} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.
- Here the convergence is in the C^0 , i.e. supremum, norm.

- We'll present examples of compact almost complex manifolds with no complex structure (2n = 4) and a 1-parameter family of almost complex structures such that $N_{J_t} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.
- Here the convergence is in the C^0 , i.e. supremum, norm.
- We'll also give a similar example in dimension 6,

- We'll present examples of compact almost complex manifolds with no complex structure (2n = 4) and a 1-parameter family of almost complex structures such that $N_{J_t} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.
- Here the convergence is in the C^0 , i.e. supremum, norm.
- We'll also give a similar example in dimension 6, that is not known to be complex.

- We'll present examples of compact almost complex manifolds with no complex structure (2n = 4) and a 1-parameter family of almost complex structures such that $N_{J_t} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.
- Here the convergence is in the C^0 , i.e. supremum, norm.
- We'll also give a similar example in dimension 6, that is not known to be complex.
- All examples will be nilmanifolds, or solvmanifolds.

- We'll present examples of compact almost complex manifolds with no complex structure (2n = 4) and a 1-parameter family of almost complex structures such that $N_{J_t} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.
- Here the convergence is in the C^0 , i.e. supremum, norm.
- We'll also give a similar example in dimension 6, that is not known to be complex.
- All examples will be nilmanifolds, or solvmanifolds.
- Remark on motivation from *h*-principle:
Goal for the talk

- We'll present examples of compact almost complex manifolds with no complex structure (2n = 4) and a 1-parameter family of almost complex structures such that $N_{J_t} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.
- Here the convergence is in the C^0 , i.e. supremum, norm.
- We'll also give a similar example in dimension 6, that is not known to be complex.
- All examples will be nilmanifolds, or solvmanifolds.
- Remark on motivation from *h*-principle:
 - Can express an integrable structure as solution to "closed differential relation".

Goal for the talk

- We'll present examples of compact almost complex manifolds with no complex structure (2n = 4) and a 1-parameter family of almost complex structures such that $N_{J_t} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.
- Here the convergence is in the C^0 , i.e. supremum, norm.
- We'll also give a similar example in dimension 6, that is not known to be complex.
- All examples will be nilmanifolds, or solvmanifolds.
- Remark on motivation from *h*-principle:
 - Can express an integrable structure as solution to "closed differential relation".
 - Via h-principle techniques one can try to deform a "formal" solution to a "genuine" one.

• We'll consider $G = \mathbb{R}^4$ with nilpotent Lie group structure, and discrete co-compact subgroup Γ , acting on G on the left.

Nilmanifold review

- We'll consider $G = \mathbb{R}^4$ with nilpotent Lie group structure, and discrete co-compact subgroup Γ , acting on G on the left.
- Associated nilmanifold is the (right) coset space $M = \Gamma \setminus G$.

Nilmanifold review

- We'll consider G = R⁴ with nilpotent Lie group structure, and discrete co-compact subgroup Γ, acting on G on the left.
- Associated nilmanifold is the (right) coset space $M = \Gamma \setminus G$.
- To define an almost complex structure on M, it suffices to give a linear complex structure on \mathfrak{g} .

Nilmanifold review

- We'll consider G = R⁴ with nilpotent Lie group structure, and discrete co-compact subgroup Γ, acting on G on the left.
- Associated nilmanifold is the (right) coset space $M = \Gamma \setminus G$.
- To define an almost complex structure on *M*, it suffices to give a linear complex structure on g.
- Extend any J on \mathfrak{g} to be left G-invariant, therefore left Γ -invariant.

- We'll consider G = R⁴ with nilpotent Lie group structure, and discrete co-compact subgroup Γ, acting on G on the left.
- Associated nilmanifold is the (right) coset space $M = \Gamma \setminus G$.
- To define an almost complex structure on M, it suffices to give a linear complex structure on \mathfrak{g} .
- Extend any J on \mathfrak{g} to be left G-invariant, therefore left Γ -invariant.
- In general the topology of M depends on $\Gamma,$ but...

- We'll consider G = R⁴ with nilpotent Lie group structure, and discrete co-compact subgroup Γ, acting on G on the left.
- Associated nilmanifold is the (right) coset space $M = \Gamma \setminus G$.
- To define an almost complex structure on *M*, it suffices to give a linear complex structure on g.
- Extend any J on \mathfrak{g} to be left G-invariant, therefore left Γ -invariant.
- In general the topology of M depends on Γ , but...

Theorem (Nomizu): The real cohomology of M can be computed from the cohomology of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} .

- We'll consider G = R⁴ with nilpotent Lie group structure, and discrete co-compact subgroup Γ, acting on G on the left.
- Associated nilmanifold is the (right) coset space $M = \Gamma \setminus G$.
- To define an almost complex structure on M, it suffices to give a linear complex structure on \mathfrak{g} .
- Extend any J on \mathfrak{g} to be left G-invariant, therefore left Γ -invariant.
- In general the topology of M depends on Γ , but...

Theorem (Nomizu): The real cohomology of M can be computed from the cohomology of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} .

Let's do an example...

$$\mathfrak{g} = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \{ X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4 \}$$

$$\mathfrak{g} = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \{ X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4 \}$$

and only non-zero brackets determined by

$$[X_1, X_i] = X_{i+1}$$
 for $i = 2, 3$.

$$\mathfrak{g} = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \{ X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4 \}$$

and only non-zero brackets determined by

$$[X_1, X_i] = X_{i+1}$$
 for $i = 2, 3$.

If $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ is the dual basis,

$$dx_1 = 0$$
 $dx_2 = 0$ $dx_3 = -x_1 \wedge x_2$ $dx_4 = -x_1 \wedge x_3$.

$$\mathfrak{g} = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \{ X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4 \}$$

and only non-zero brackets determined by

$$[X_1, X_i] = X_{i+1}$$
 for $i = 2, 3$.

If $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ is the dual basis,

$$dx_1 = 0$$
 $dx_2 = 0$ $dx_3 = -x_1 \wedge x_2$ $dx_4 = -x_1 \wedge x_3$.

Now use these to compute the real cohomology.

We have

We have



We have

.



Therefore, by Poincaré Duality, the Betti numbers of $\Gamma \backslash G$ are

$$b_1 = b_2 = b_3 = 2$$

For any lattice $\Gamma \subset G$, the compact 4-manifold $\Gamma \backslash G$ does not admit a complex structure.

For any lattice $\Gamma \subset G$, the compact 4-manifold $\Gamma \backslash G$ does not admit a complex structure.

Sketch of Proof:

• If $\Gamma \setminus G$ is complex, since $b_1 = 2$, $\Gamma \setminus G$ is Kähler.

For any lattice $\Gamma \subset G$, the compact 4-manifold $\Gamma \setminus G$ does not admit a complex structure.

Sketch of Proof:

- If $\Gamma \setminus G$ is complex, since $b_1 = 2$, $\Gamma \setminus G$ is Kähler.
- But a formal nilmanifold is a torus (Hasegawa).

For any lattice $\Gamma \subset G$, the compact 4-manifold $\Gamma \setminus G$ does not admit a complex structure.

Sketch of Proof:

- If $\Gamma \setminus G$ is complex, since $b_1 = 2$, $\Gamma \setminus G$ is Kähler.
- But a formal nilmanifold is a torus (Hasegawa).
- But $b_2 = 2 \neq 4$, so $\Gamma \setminus G$ is not a torus.

For any lattice $\Gamma \subset G$, the compact 4-manifold $\Gamma \setminus G$ does not admit a complex structure.

Sketch of Proof:

- If $\Gamma \setminus G$ is complex, since $b_1 = 2$, $\Gamma \setminus G$ is Kähler.
- But a formal nilmanifold is a torus (Hasegawa).
- But $b_2 = 2 \neq 4$, so $\Gamma \setminus G$ is not a torus.
- Note that $\Gamma \setminus G$ is symplectic: $x_1x_4 + x_2x_3$ is closed and non-degenerate.

$$J_t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2\operatorname{csch} t & 0 & 0\\ \sinh t & -1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -1 - \sqrt{2} & -2(2 + \sqrt{2})\operatorname{csch} t\\ 0 & 0 & \sinh t & 1 + \sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

٠

$$J_t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2\operatorname{csch} t & 0 & 0\\ \sinh t & -1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -1 - \sqrt{2} & -2(2 + \sqrt{2})\operatorname{csch} t\\ 0 & 0 & \sinh t & 1 + \sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

٠

Recall $\operatorname{csch} t = 1/\sinh t$.

$$J_t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2\operatorname{csch} t & 0 & 0\\ \sinh t & -1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -1 - \sqrt{2} & -2(2 + \sqrt{2})\operatorname{csch} t\\ 0 & 0 & \sinh t & 1 + \sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

٠

Recall $\operatorname{csch} t = 1/\sinh t$. One can check that $J_t^2 = -\operatorname{Id}$.

$$J_t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2\operatorname{csch} t & 0 & 0\\ \sinh t & -1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -1 - \sqrt{2} & -2(2 + \sqrt{2})\operatorname{csch} t\\ 0 & 0 & \sinh t & 1 + \sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

٠

Recall $\operatorname{csch} t = 1/\sinh t$. One can check that $J_t^2 = -\operatorname{Id}$.

Note that $\lim_{t\to\infty}J_t$ does not exist:

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} J_t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ +\infty & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 - \sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & +\infty & 1 + \sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

• Since the Nijenhuis-tensor is skew-symmetric, it is completely determined by

• Since the Nijenhuis-tensor is skew-symmetric, it is completely determined by

$$N_t(X_1, X_2) = 0$$

$$N_t(X_1, X_3) = (4 + 4\sqrt{2}) \operatorname{csch} t X_3$$

$$N_t(X_1, X_4) = 2(2 + \sqrt{2}) \operatorname{csch} t [2(2 + \sqrt{2}) \operatorname{csch} t X_3 - \sqrt{2} X_4]$$

$$N_t(X_2, X_3) = 4 \operatorname{csch} t [(2 + \sqrt{2}) \operatorname{csch} t X_3 - (1 + \sqrt{2}) X_4]$$

$$N_t(X_2, X_4) = -4(2 + \sqrt{2}) \operatorname{csch}^2 t X_4$$

$$N_t(X_3, X_4) = 0.$$

• Since the Nijenhuis-tensor is skew-symmetric, it is completely determined by

$$N_t(X_1, X_2) = 0$$

$$N_t(X_1, X_3) = (4 + 4\sqrt{2}) \operatorname{csch} t X_3$$

$$N_t(X_1, X_4) = 2(2 + \sqrt{2}) \operatorname{csch} t [2(2 + \sqrt{2}) \operatorname{csch} t X_3 - \sqrt{2} X_4]$$

$$N_t(X_2, X_3) = 4 \operatorname{csch} t [(2 + \sqrt{2}) \operatorname{csch} t X_3 - (1 + \sqrt{2}) X_4]$$

$$N_t(X_2, X_4) = -4(2 + \sqrt{2}) \operatorname{csch}^2 t X_4$$

$$N_t(X_3, X_4) = 0.$$

So $N_t \to 0$ uniformly on $\Gamma \backslash G$ as $t \to \infty$.

• Since the Nijenhuis-tensor is skew-symmetric, it is completely determined by

$$N_t(X_1, X_2) = 0$$

$$N_t(X_1, X_3) = (4 + 4\sqrt{2}) \operatorname{csch} t X_3$$

$$N_t(X_1, X_4) = 2(2 + \sqrt{2}) \operatorname{csch} t [2(2 + \sqrt{2}) \operatorname{csch} t X_3 - \sqrt{2} X_4]$$

$$N_t(X_2, X_3) = 4 \operatorname{csch} t [(2 + \sqrt{2}) \operatorname{csch} t X_3 - (1 + \sqrt{2}) X_4]$$

$$N_t(X_2, X_4) = -4(2 + \sqrt{2}) \operatorname{csch}^2 t X_4$$

$$N_t(X_3, X_4) = 0.$$

So $N_t \to 0$ uniformly on $\Gamma \setminus G$ as $t \to \infty$.

 So, any such filiform manifold Γ\G has no complex structure, but has a family J_t with arbitrarily small Nuijenhuis tensor.

• Since the Nijenhuis-tensor is skew-symmetric, it is completely determined by

$$N_t(X_1, X_2) = 0$$

$$N_t(X_1, X_3) = (4 + 4\sqrt{2}) \operatorname{csch} t X_3$$

$$N_t(X_1, X_4) = 2(2 + \sqrt{2}) \operatorname{csch} t [2(2 + \sqrt{2}) \operatorname{csch} t X_3 - \sqrt{2} X_4]$$

$$N_t(X_2, X_3) = 4 \operatorname{csch} t [(2 + \sqrt{2}) \operatorname{csch} t X_3 - (1 + \sqrt{2}) X_4]$$

$$N_t(X_2, X_4) = -4(2 + \sqrt{2}) \operatorname{csch}^2 t X_4$$

$$N_t(X_3, X_4) = 0.$$

So $N_t \to 0$ uniformly on $\Gamma \setminus G$ as $t \to \infty$.

- So, any such filiform manifold Γ\G has no complex structure, but has a family J_t with arbitrarily small Nuijenhuis tensor.
- We have no conceptual explanation for this!

$$\mathfrak{g} = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \{ X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4 \}$$
Let

$$\mathfrak{g} = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \{ X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4 \}$$

and only non-zero brackets determined by

$$[X_1, X_3] = -kX_1$$
$$[X_2, X_3] = kX_2,$$

for any $k \neq 0$.

Let

$$\mathfrak{g} = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \{ X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4 \}$$

and only non-zero brackets determined by

$$[X_1, X_3] = -kX_1$$
$$[X_2, X_3] = kX_2,$$

for any $k \neq 0$. Then

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(k) + \mathbb{R}[X_4]$$

Let

$$\mathfrak{g} = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \{ X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4 \}$$

and only non-zero brackets determined by

$$[X_1, X_3] = -kX_1$$
$$[X_2, X_3] = kX_2,$$

for any $k \neq 0$. Then

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(k) + \mathbb{R}[X_4]$$

where $\mathfrak{g}(k)$ the Lie algebra of the simply connected solvable (non-nilpotent) Lie group G(k) given by matrices of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} e^{kz} & 0 & 0 & x \\ 0 & e^{-kz} & 0 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & z \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k \neq 0$.

• Solvable Lie groups may not admit a lattice. There is no general criteria.

Fernández and Gray manifold, dimension $\boldsymbol{4}$

- Solvable Lie groups may not admit a lattice. There is no general criteria.
- But G(k) does admit a lattice (many in fact; details omitted).

Fernández and Gray manifold, dimension $\boldsymbol{4}$

- Solvable Lie groups may not admit a lattice. There is no general criteria.
- But G(k) does admit a lattice (many in fact; details omitted).
- Let $M^4(k) = (\Gamma \setminus G(k)) \times S^1$.

- Solvable Lie groups may not admit a lattice. There is no general criteria.
- But G(k) does admit a lattice (many in fact; details omitted).
- Let $M^4(k) = (\Gamma \setminus G(k)) \times S^1$.
- The Lie algebra is completely solvable, i.e. the adjoint action ad_X has real eigenvalues for all X.

- Solvable Lie groups may not admit a lattice. There is no general criteria.
- But G(k) does admit a lattice (many in fact; details omitted).
- Let $M^4(k) = (\Gamma \backslash G(k)) \times S^1$.
- The Lie algebra is completely solvable, i.e. the adjoint action ad_X has real eigenvalues for all X.

Theorem (Hattori): The real cohomology of any completely solvmanifold can be computed from the cohomology of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} .

- Solvable Lie groups may not admit a lattice. There is no general criteria.
- But G(k) does admit a lattice (many in fact; details omitted).
- Let $M^4(k) = (\Gamma \setminus G(k)) \times S^1$.
- The Lie algebra is completely solvable, i.e. the adjoint action ad_X has real eigenvalues for all X.

Theorem (Hattori): The real cohomology of any completely solvmanifold can be computed from the cohomology of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} .

In this case, one can compute the Betti numbers of $M^4(k)$ as before:

$$b_1 = b_2 = b_3 = 2.$$

Fernández and Gray also show that the manifolds $M^4(k)$:

• are symplectic (and therefore almost Kähler).

- are symplectic (and therefore almost Kähler).
- satisfy all known cohomological properties of Kähler manifolds.

- are symplectic (and therefore almost Kähler).
- satisfy all known cohomological properties of Kähler manifolds.
- are formal

- are symplectic (and therefore almost Kähler).
- satisfy all known cohomological properties of Kähler manifolds.
- are formal (in fact the same minimal model as $S^1 \times S^1 \times S^2$).

- are symplectic (and therefore almost Kähler).
- satisfy all known cohomological properties of Kähler manifolds.
- are formal (in fact the same minimal model as $S^1 \times S^1 \times S^2$).
- but neverththeless are not Kähler, as they are not even complex.

For any $k \neq 0$, consider the family of linear almost complex structures on \mathfrak{g} defined in the ordered basis $\{X_1, \ldots, X_4\}$ by:

For any $k \neq 0$, consider the family of linear almost complex structures on \mathfrak{g} defined in the ordered basis $\{X_1, \ldots, X_4\}$ by:

$$J_{t} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-2}{kt^{2}} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{6+\sqrt{3}kt^{2}+2k^{2}t^{4}}{3k^{2}t^{3}} & \frac{6-\sqrt{3}kt^{2}+2k^{2}t^{4}}{3k^{2}t^{5}} \\ \frac{-1}{\sqrt{3}} & 0 & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{3}kt} - \frac{2t}{3} & \frac{\sqrt{3}-2kt^{2}}{3kt^{3}} \\ \frac{1}{t} & \frac{1}{t} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} + \frac{1}{kt^{2}} & \frac{-1}{kt^{4}} \\ -t & t & \frac{-1}{k} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{3}} + \frac{1}{kt^{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$

One can show that $J_t^2 = -\text{Id.}$

Then the Nijenhuis-tensor is determined by

Then the Nijenhuis-tensor is determined by

$$\begin{split} & N_t(X_1, X_2) = \frac{-2k}{\sqrt{3}t} \left(X_1 + X_2 \right) + \frac{2k}{t^2} X_3 \\ & N_t(X_1, X_3) = \frac{1}{t} \left(\frac{2k}{\sqrt{3}} X_3 + X_4 \right) + \frac{1}{t^2} \left(\frac{-1}{\sqrt{3}} X_1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} X_2 \right) - \frac{1}{t^3} X_3 + \frac{2}{kt^4} X_1 \\ & N_t(X_1, X_4) = \frac{2}{kt^6} X_1 - \frac{1}{t^5} X_3 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}t^4} \left(X_2 - X_1 \right) + \frac{1}{t^3} X_4 + \frac{2k}{3t^2} \left(X_1 + X_2 \right) \\ & N_t(X_2, X_3) = \frac{-2}{kt^4} X_1 - \frac{1}{t^3} X_3 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}t^2} \left(3X_1 + X_2 \right) + \frac{1}{t} \left(\frac{-2k}{\sqrt{3}} X_3 - X_4 \right) \\ & N_t(X_2, X_4) = \frac{2}{kt^6} X_1 + \frac{1}{t^5} X_3 + \frac{-1}{\sqrt{3}t^4} \left(3X_1 - X_2 \right) + \frac{1}{t^3} X_4 + \frac{2k}{3t^2} \left(X_1 + X_2 \right) \\ & N_t(X_3, X_4) = \frac{4}{k^2 t^7} X_1 - \frac{2}{kt^6} X_3 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}kt^5} \left(X_2 - X_1 \right) + \frac{2}{t^4} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} X_3 + \frac{1}{k} X_4 \right) \\ & + \frac{2}{3t^3} \left(X_1 + X_2 \right) - \frac{4k}{3t^2} X_3 + \frac{4k}{3\sqrt{3}t} \left(X_1 + X_2 \right) . \end{split}$$

Then the Nijenhuis-tensor is determined by

$$\begin{split} & N_t(X_1, X_2) = \frac{-2k}{\sqrt{3}t} \left(X_1 + X_2 \right) + \frac{2k}{t^2} X_3 \\ & N_t(X_1, X_3) = \frac{1}{t} \left(\frac{2k}{\sqrt{3}} X_3 + X_4 \right) + \frac{1}{t^2} \left(\frac{-1}{\sqrt{3}} X_1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} X_2 \right) - \frac{1}{t^3} X_3 + \frac{2}{kt^4} X_1 \\ & N_t(X_1, X_4) = \frac{2}{kt^6} X_1 - \frac{1}{t^5} X_3 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}t^4} \left(X_2 - X_1 \right) + \frac{1}{t^3} X_4 + \frac{2k}{3t^2} \left(X_1 + X_2 \right) \\ & N_t(X_2, X_3) = \frac{-2}{kt^4} X_1 - \frac{1}{t^3} X_3 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}t^2} \left(3X_1 + X_2 \right) + \frac{1}{t} \left(\frac{-2k}{\sqrt{3}} X_3 - X_4 \right) \\ & N_t(X_2, X_4) = \frac{2}{kt^6} X_1 + \frac{1}{t^5} X_3 + \frac{-1}{\sqrt{3}t^4} \left(3X_1 - X_2 \right) + \frac{1}{t^3} X_4 + \frac{2k}{3t^2} \left(X_1 + X_2 \right) \\ & N_t(X_3, X_4) = \frac{4}{k^2 t^7} X_1 - \frac{2}{kt^6} X_3 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}kt^5} \left(X_2 - X_1 \right) + \frac{2}{t^4} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} X_3 + \frac{1}{k} X_4 \right) \\ & + \frac{2}{3t^3} \left(X_1 + X_2 \right) - \frac{4k}{3t^2} X_3 + \frac{4k}{3\sqrt{3}t} \left(X_1 + X_2 \right) . \end{split}$$

Then, for each $k \neq 0$, $N_t \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Then the Nijenhuis-tensor is determined by

$$\begin{split} & N_t(X_1, X_2) = \frac{-2k}{\sqrt{3}t} \left(X_1 + X_2 \right) + \frac{2k}{t^2} X_3 \\ & N_t(X_1, X_3) = \frac{1}{t} \left(\frac{2k}{\sqrt{3}} X_3 + X_4 \right) + \frac{1}{t^2} \left(\frac{-1}{\sqrt{3}} X_1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} X_2 \right) - \frac{1}{t^3} X_3 + \frac{2}{kt^4} X_1 \\ & N_t(X_1, X_4) = \frac{2}{kt^6} X_1 - \frac{1}{t^5} X_3 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}t^4} \left(X_2 - X_1 \right) + \frac{1}{t^3} X_4 + \frac{2k}{3t^2} \left(X_1 + X_2 \right) \\ & N_t(X_2, X_3) = \frac{-2}{kt^4} X_1 - \frac{1}{t^3} X_3 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}t^2} \left(3X_1 + X_2 \right) + \frac{1}{t} \left(\frac{-2k}{\sqrt{3}} X_3 - X_4 \right) \\ & N_t(X_2, X_4) = \frac{2}{kt^6} X_1 + \frac{1}{t^5} X_3 + \frac{-1}{\sqrt{3}t^4} \left(3X_1 - X_2 \right) + \frac{1}{t^3} X_4 + \frac{2k}{3t^2} \left(X_1 + X_2 \right) \\ & N_t(X_3, X_4) = \frac{4}{k^2 t^7} X_1 - \frac{2}{kt^6} X_3 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}kt^5} \left(X_2 - X_1 \right) + \frac{2}{t^4} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} X_3 + \frac{1}{k} X_4 \right) \\ & + \frac{2}{3t^3} \left(X_1 + X_2 \right) - \frac{4k}{3t^2} X_3 + \frac{4k}{3\sqrt{3}t} \left(X_1 + X_2 \right) . \end{split}$$

Then, for each $k \neq 0$, $N_t \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Again, we have no conceptual explanation for this. • Example was found through the following method:

- Example was found through the following method:
 - (1) Write down generic J on \mathbb{R}^4 modulo measure zero set.

- Example was found through the following method:
 - (1) Write down generic J on \mathbb{R}^4 modulo measure zero set.
 - ② Ansatz:

- Example was found through the following method:
 - (1) Write down generic J on \mathbb{R}^4 modulo measure zero set.
 - 2 Ansatz: entries of J_t are rational functions in t.

- Example was found through the following method:
 - **1** Write down generic J on \mathbb{R}^4 modulo measure zero set.
 - ② Ansatz: entries of J_t are rational functions in t.
 - 3 Run gradient descent method for

$$F(J) = \|N_J\|.$$

- Example was found through the following method:
 - (1) Write down generic J on \mathbb{R}^4 modulo measure zero set.
 - ② Ansatz: entries of J_t are rational functions in t.
 - 3 Run gradient descent method for

$$F(J) = \|N_J\|.$$

④ This produces a sequence J_n for some small step size.

- Example was found through the following method:
 - **1** Write down generic J on \mathbb{R}^4 modulo measure zero set.
 - 2 Ansatz: entries of J_t are rational functions in t.
 - ③ Run gradient descent method for

$$F(J) = \|N_J\|.$$

- **④** This produces a sequence J_n for some small step size.
- **5** Observe which entries of J_n diverge to 0, or $\pm \infty$.

- Example was found through the following method:
 - **1** Write down generic J on \mathbb{R}^4 modulo measure zero set.
 - 2 Ansatz: entries of J_t are rational functions in t.
 - ③ Run gradient descent method for

$$F(J) = \|N_J\|.$$

- (4) This produces a sequence J_n for some small step size.
- **5** Observe which entries of J_n diverge to 0, or $\pm \infty$.
- **6** Revise J_t with rational entries t^k plus lower order terms.

- Example was found through the following method:
 - **1** Write down generic J on \mathbb{R}^4 modulo measure zero set.
 - 2 Ansatz: entries of J_t are rational functions in t.
 - ③ Run gradient descent method for

$$F(J) = \|N_J\|.$$

- ④ This produces a sequence J_n for some small step size.
- **(5)** Observe which entries of J_n diverge to 0, or $\pm \infty$.
- **6** Revise J_t with rational entries t^k plus lower order terms.
- **(2)** Use computer algebra system to solve for unknown coefficients, forcing $N_t = O(1/t)$.
Methodology

- Example was found through the following method:
 - **1** Write down generic J on \mathbb{R}^4 modulo measure zero set.
 - 2 Ansatz: entries of J_t are rational functions in t.
 - ③ Run gradient descent method for

$$F(J) = \|N_J\|.$$

- ④ This produces a sequence J_n for some small step size.
- **(5)** Observe which entries of J_n diverge to 0, or $\pm \infty$.
- **6** Revise J_t with rational entries t^k plus lower order terms.
- **(2)** Use computer algebra system to solve for unknown coefficients, forcing $N_t = O(1/t)$.

What about dimension 6?

What about dimension 6?

Consider the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \{X_1, \ldots, X_6\}$, with only non-zero brackets determined by

 $[X_1, X_i] = X_{i+1}$ for i = 2, 3, 4, 5.

What about dimension 6?

Consider the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \{X_1, \ldots, X_6\}$, with only non-zero brackets determined by

$$[X_1, X_i] = X_{i+1}$$
 for $i = 2, 3, 4, 5.$

Let $M^6 = \Gamma \setminus G$ where Γ is a discrete subgroup of the simply connected Lie group G associated to g.

What about dimension 6?

Consider the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \{X_1, \ldots, X_6\}$, with only non-zero brackets determined by

$$[X_1, X_i] = X_{i+1}$$
 for $i = 2, 3, 4, 5.$

Let $M^6 = \Gamma \setminus G$ where Γ is a discrete subgroup of the simply connected Lie group G associated to g.

Theorem (Goze-Remm): The Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} does not admit an integrable linear complex structure.

What about dimension 6?

Consider the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \{X_1, \ldots, X_6\}$, with only non-zero brackets determined by

$$[X_1, X_i] = X_{i+1}$$
 for $i = 2, 3, 4, 5.$

Let $M^6 = \Gamma \setminus G$ where Γ is a discrete subgroup of the simply connected Lie group G associated to g.

Theorem (Goze-Remm): The Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} does not admit an integrable linear complex structure.

So, there is no complex structure on M^6 induced as a nilmanifold.

What about dimension 6?

Consider the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \{X_1, \ldots, X_6\}$, with only non-zero brackets determined by

$$[X_1, X_i] = X_{i+1}$$
 for $i = 2, 3, 4, 5.$

Let $M^6 = \Gamma \setminus G$ where Γ is a discrete subgroup of the simply connected Lie group G associated to g.

Theorem (Goze-Remm): The Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} does not admit an integrable linear complex structure.

So, there is no complex structure on M^6 induced as a nilmanifold. Unknown whether M^6 admits a complex structure.

We give an example of a 1-parameter family J_t , of left-invariant almost-complex structures on any M^6 , such that the Nijenhuis-tensor $N_t := N(J_t)$ satisfies $N_t \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$.

We give an example of a 1-parameter family J_t , of left-invariant almost-complex structures on any M^6 , such that the Nijenhuis-tensor $N_t := N(J_t)$ satisfies $N_t \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$. In the ordered basis $\{X_1, \ldots, X_6\}$, define

We give an example of a 1-parameter family J_t , of left-invariant almost-complex structures on any M^6 , such that the Nijenhuis-tensor $N_t := N(J_t)$ satisfies $N_t \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$. In the ordered basis $\{X_1, \ldots, X_6\}$, define



$$\begin{split} N_t(X_1, X_2) &= \frac{1}{t^3} X_4 + \frac{\left(t^6 + 7t^4 + 5t^2 + 1\right)}{\sqrt{3}t^6 \left(2t^2 + 1\right)} X_5 - \frac{2\left(t^2 + 1\right)}{3t \left(2t^2 + 1\right)} X_6 \\ N_t(X_1, X_3) &= -\frac{2t}{\sqrt{3} \left(t^2 + 1\right)} X_3 - \frac{4}{3 \left(t^2 + 1\right)} X_4 - \frac{8\left(t^2 - t + 1\right) \left(t^2 + t + 1\right)}{3\sqrt{3}t \left(t^2 + 1\right)^2} X_5 \\ N_t(X_1, X_4) &= -\frac{1}{t^2} X_3 + \frac{2t}{\sqrt{3} \left(t^2 + 1\right)} X_4 \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & N_t(X_1, X_2) &= \frac{1}{t^3} X_4 + \frac{\left(t^6 + 7t^4 + 5t^2 + 1\right)}{\sqrt{3}t^6 \left(2t^2 + 1\right)} X_5 - \frac{2\left(t^2 + 1\right)}{3t \left(2t^2 + 1\right)} X_6 \\ & N_t(X_1, X_3) &= -\frac{2t}{\sqrt{3}\left(t^2 + 1\right)} X_3 - \frac{4}{3\left(t^2 + 1\right)} X_4 - \frac{8\left(t^2 - t + 1\right)\left(t^2 + t + 1\right)}{3\sqrt{3}t \left(t^2 + 1\right)^2} X_5 \\ & N_t(X_1, X_4) &= -\frac{1}{t^2} X_3 + \frac{2t}{\sqrt{3}\left(t^2 + 1\right)} X_4 \\ & N_t(X_1, X_5) &= -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}t} X_5 - \frac{4}{3\left(t^2 + 1\right)} X_6 \\ & N_t(X_1, X_6) &= -\frac{\left(t^2 + 1\right)^2}{t^6} X_5 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}t} X_6 \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & N_t(X_1, X_2) &= \frac{1}{t^3} X_4 + \frac{\left(t^6 + 7t^4 + 5t^2 + 1\right)}{\sqrt{3}t^6 \left(2t^2 + 1\right)} X_5 - \frac{2\left(t^2 + 1\right)}{3t \left(2t^2 + 1\right)} X_6 \\ & N_t(X_1, X_3) &= -\frac{2t}{\sqrt{3}\left(t^2 + 1\right)} X_3 - \frac{4}{3\left(t^2 + 1\right)} X_4 - \frac{8\left(t^2 - t + 1\right)\left(t^2 + t + 1\right)}{3\sqrt{3}t \left(t^2 + 1\right)^2} X_5 \\ & N_t(X_1, X_4) &= -\frac{1}{t^2} X_3 + \frac{2t}{\sqrt{3}\left(t^2 + 1\right)} X_4 \\ & N_t(X_1, X_5) &= -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}t} X_5 - \frac{4}{3\left(t^2 + 1\right)} X_6 \\ & N_t(X_1, X_6) &= -\frac{\left(t^2 + 1\right)^2}{t^6} X_5 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}t} X_6 \\ & N_t(X_2, X_3) &= \frac{1}{t^4} X_3 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}t^3} X_4 + \frac{4\left(t^4 + 3t^2 + 1\right)}{3t^2 \left(t^2 + 1\right) \left(2t^2 + 1\right)} X_5 - \frac{8}{3\sqrt{3}t} X_6 \\ & N_t(X_2, X_4) &= -\frac{1}{t^4} X_4 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}t^3} X_5 - \frac{4}{3\left(2t^2 + 1\right)} X_6 \\ & N_t(X_2, X_5) &= \frac{\left(t^2 + 1\right)}{t^6} X_5 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}t^3} X_6 \\ & N_t(X_2, X_6) &= -\frac{\left(t^2 + 1\right)}{t^6} X_6 \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} N_t(X_1, X_2) &= \frac{1}{t^3} X_4 + \frac{\left(t^6 + 7t^4 + 5t^2 + 1\right)}{\sqrt{3}t^6 \left(2t^2 + 1\right)} X_5 - \frac{2\left(t^2 + 1\right)}{3t \left(2t^2 + 1\right)} X_6 \\ N_t(X_1, X_3) &= -\frac{2t}{\sqrt{3}\left(t^2 + 1\right)} X_3 - \frac{4}{3\left(t^2 + 1\right)} X_4 - \frac{8\left(t^2 - t + 1\right)\left(t^2 + t + 1\right)}{3\sqrt{3}t \left(t^2 + 1\right)^2} X_5 \\ N_t(X_1, X_4) &= -\frac{1}{t^2} X_3 + \frac{2t}{\sqrt{3}\left(t^2 + 1\right)} X_4 \\ N_t(X_1, X_5) &= -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}t} X_5 - \frac{4}{3\left(t^2 + 1\right)} X_6 \\ N_t(X_1, X_6) &= -\frac{\left(t^2 + 1\right)^2}{t^6} X_5 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}t} X_6 \\ N_t(X_2, X_3) &= \frac{1}{t^4} X_3 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}t^3} X_4 + \frac{4\left(t^4 + 3t^2 + 1\right)}{3t^2 \left(t^2 + 1\right) \left(2t^2 + 1\right)} X_5 - \frac{8}{3\sqrt{3}t} X_6 \\ N_t(X_2, X_4) &= -\frac{1}{t^4} X_4 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}t^3} X_5 - \frac{4}{3\left(2t^2 + 1\right)} X_6 \\ N_t(X_2, X_5) &= \frac{\left(t^2 + 1\right)}{t^6} X_5 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}t^3} X_6 \\ N_t(X_2, X_6) &= -\frac{\left(t^2 + 1\right)}{t^6} X_6 \\ N_t(X_2, X_6) &= -\frac{\left(t^2 + 1\right)}{t^6} X_6 \\ N_t(X_i, X_j) &= 0 \text{ if } i, j \ge 3. \end{split}$$

Therefore $N_t \to 0$ in the C^0 -norm on $M^6 = \Gamma \backslash G$.

Fei, Phong, Picard, Zhang define "type IIA-flow" for symplectic 6 manifolds.

Fei, Phong, Picard, Zhang define "type IIA-flow" for symplectic 6 manifolds.

On nilmanifolds with invariant J, compatible with symplectic form: if the flow is defined for all time, then $N_t \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Fei, Phong, Picard, Zhang define "type IIA-flow" for symplectic 6 manifolds.

On nilmanifolds with invariant J, compatible with symplectic form:

if the flow is defined for all time, then $N_t \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$.

Would be interesting to see if the type IIA-flow for this 6 manifold is defined for all time.

Fei, Phong, Picard, Zhang define "type IIA-flow" for symplectic 6 manifolds.

On nilmanifolds with invariant J, compatible with symplectic form:

if the flow is defined for all time, then $N_t \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$.

Would be interesting to see if the type IIA-flow for this 6 manifold is defined for all time.

• Questions:

- Questions:
 - (1) Is there a flow in dimension 2n = 4, or in general, that explains the existence of these examples?

- Questions:
 - (1) Is there a flow in dimension 2n = 4, or in general, that explains the existence of these examples?
 - ② Does every compact almost complex manifold admit a family of almost complex structures with $N_t \rightarrow 0$?

- Questions:
 - Is there a flow in dimension 2n = 4, or in general, that explains the existence of these examples?
 - ② Does every compact almost complex manifold admit a family of almost complex structures with $N_t \rightarrow 0$?
 - If yes, why? If not, what are the obstructions?

- Questions:
 - Is there a flow in dimension 2n = 4, or in general, that explains the existence of these examples?
 - ② Does every compact almost complex manifold admit a family of almost complex structures with $N_t \rightarrow 0$?
 - If yes, why? If not, what are the obstructions?

Thank you!



L. Fernandez, T. Shin, S. O. Wilson, "Almost complex manifolds with small Nijenhuis tensor", arXiv:2103.06090.

Consider the Lie group $\mathbb{R}^3 \rtimes_{\phi} \mathbb{R}$, where $\phi : \mathbb{R} \to Aut(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{R}^3 have the standard additive structures.

Consider the Lie group $\mathbb{R}^3 \rtimes_{\phi} \mathbb{R}$, where $\phi : \mathbb{R} \to Aut(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{R}^3 have the standard additive structures.

To obtain lattice: choose $\phi(1) = A \in SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$, and restrict to the case that A has three real, positive, distinct eigenvalues.

Consider the Lie group $\mathbb{R}^3 \rtimes_{\phi} \mathbb{R}$, where $\phi : \mathbb{R} \to Aut(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{R}^3 have the standard additive structures.

To obtain lattice: choose $\phi(1) = A \in SL(3,\mathbb{Z})$, and restrict to the case that A has three real, positive, distinct eigenvalues.

Example:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -k \\ 0 & 1 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$$

for any integer k with $6 \leq k \leq 15.$

Consider the Lie group $\mathbb{R}^3 \rtimes_{\phi} \mathbb{R}$, where $\phi : \mathbb{R} \to Aut(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{R}^3 have the standard additive structures.

To obtain lattice: choose $\phi(1) = A \in SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$, and restrict to the case that A has three real, positive, distinct eigenvalues.

Example:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -k \\ 0 & 1 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$$

for any integer k with $6 \le k \le 15$.

Extended: $\phi : \mathbb{R} \to \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ by defining $\phi_t = \exp(t \log A)$. Let $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^3 \rtimes_{\phi} \mathbb{Z}$ be lattice in $G = \mathbb{R}^3 \rtimes_{\phi} \mathbb{R}$.
Consider the Lie group $\mathbb{R}^3 \rtimes_{\phi} \mathbb{R}$, where $\phi : \mathbb{R} \to Aut(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{R}^3 have the standard additive structures.

To obtain lattice: choose $\phi(1) = A \in SL(3,\mathbb{Z})$, and restrict to the case that A has three real, positive, distinct eigenvalues.

Example:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -k \\ 0 & 1 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$$

for any integer k with $6 \le k \le 15$.

Extended: $\phi : \mathbb{R} \to \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ by defining $\phi_t = \exp(t \log A)$.

Let $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^3 \rtimes_{\phi} \mathbb{Z}$ be lattice in $G = \mathbb{R}^3 \rtimes_{\phi} \mathbb{R}$.

According to Hasegawa's classification of compact complex 4-dimensional solvmanifolds, any such solvmanifold $\Gamma \setminus G$ does not have a complex structure.

Write $A = VDV^{-1}$, with D diagonal having entries $e^{\lambda_1}, e^{\lambda_2}, e^{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)}$, and V is a matrix whose columns are the respective eigenvectors.

Write $A = VDV^{-1}$, with D diagonal having entries e^{λ_1} , e^{λ_2} , $e^{-(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)}$, and V is a matrix whose columns are the respective eigenvectors. Let X_1 be the standard basis vector for \mathbb{R} , and let $\{X_2, X_3, X_4\}$ be the columns of V^{-1} .

Write $A = VDV^{-1}$, with D diagonal having entries e^{λ_1} , e^{λ_2} , $e^{-(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)}$, and V is a matrix whose columns are the respective eigenvectors. Let X_1 be the standard basis vector for \mathbb{R} , and let $\{X_2, X_3, X_4\}$ be the columns of V^{-1} .

In the standard basis $\{E_i\}$ of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3$, with $E_1 = X_1$, the Lie algebra of G has non-zero brackets determined by

$$[E_1, E_i] = (\log A) E_i,$$

for i = 2, 3, 4.

Write $A = VDV^{-1}$, with D diagonal having entries e^{λ_1} , e^{λ_2} , $e^{-(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)}$, and V is a matrix whose columns are the respective eigenvectors. Let X_1 be the standard basis vector for \mathbb{R} , and let $\{X_2, X_3, X_4\}$ be the columns of V^{-1} .

In the standard basis $\{E_i\}$ of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3$, with $E_1 = X_1$, the Lie algebra of G has non-zero brackets determined by

$$[E_1, E_i] = (\log A) E_i,$$

for i = 2, 3, 4.

Equivalently, the Lie bracket $\{,\} := V^{-1} \circ [V(-), V(-)]$, satisfies

$$\{X_1, X_2\} = \lambda_1 X_2$$

$$\{X_1, X_3\} = \lambda_2 X_3$$

$$\{X_1, X_4\} = -(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) X_4.$$

Write $A = VDV^{-1}$, with D diagonal having entries e^{λ_1} , e^{λ_2} , $e^{-(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)}$, and V is a matrix whose columns are the respective eigenvectors. Let X_1 be the standard basis vector for \mathbb{R} , and let $\{X_2, X_3, X_4\}$ be the columns of V^{-1} .

In the standard basis $\{E_i\}$ of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3$, with $E_1 = X_1$, the Lie algebra of G has non-zero brackets determined by

$$[E_1, E_i] = (\log A) E_i,$$

for i = 2, 3, 4.

Equivalently, the Lie bracket $\{,\} := V^{-1} \circ [V(-), V(-)]$, satisfies

$$\{X_1, X_2\} = \lambda_1 X_2$$

$$\{X_1, X_3\} = \lambda_2 X_3$$

$$\{X_1, X_4\} = -(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) X_4.$$

Note that the first Betti number of $\Gamma \setminus G$ is equal to one unless any of λ_1, λ_2 , or $(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$ are zero.

Write $A = VDV^{-1}$, with D diagonal having entries e^{λ_1} , e^{λ_2} , $e^{-(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)}$, and V is a matrix whose columns are the respective eigenvectors. Let X_1 be the standard basis vector for \mathbb{R} , and let $\{X_2, X_3, X_4\}$ be the columns of V^{-1} .

In the standard basis $\{E_i\}$ of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3$, with $E_1 = X_1$, the Lie algebra of G has non-zero brackets determined by

$$[E_1, E_i] = (\log A) E_i,$$

for i = 2, 3, 4.

Equivalently, the Lie bracket $\{,\} := V^{-1} \circ [V(-), V(-)]$, satisfies

$$\{X_1, X_2\} = \lambda_1 X_2$$

$$\{X_1, X_3\} = \lambda_2 X_3$$

$$\{X_1, X_4\} = -(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) X_4.$$

Note that the first Betti number of $\Gamma \setminus G$ is equal to one unless any of λ_1, λ_2 , or $(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$ are zero.

To give a 1-parameter family of almost complex structures J_t on $(\mathfrak{g}, [,])$ with $N_{J_t} \to 0$, it suffices to give almost complex structures K_t on $(\mathfrak{g}, \{,\})$ in the basis $\{X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4\}$, with $N_{K_t} \to 0$, for then we may define $J_t := V^{-1}K_t V$.

To give a 1-parameter family of almost complex structures J_t on $(\mathfrak{g}, [,])$ with $N_{J_t} \to 0$, it suffices to give almost complex structures K_t on $(\mathfrak{g}, \{, \})$ in the basis $\{X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4\}$, with $N_{K_t} \to 0$, for then we may define $J_t := V^{-1}K_t V$.

In the ordered basis $\{X_1, \ldots, X_4\}$, let



To give a 1-parameter family of almost complex structures J_t on $(\mathfrak{g}, [,])$ with $N_{J_t} \to 0$, it suffices to give almost complex structures K_t on $(\mathfrak{g}, \{, \})$ in the basis $\{X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4\}$, with $N_{K_t} \to 0$, for then we may define $J_t := V^{-1}K_t V$.

In the ordered basis $\{X_1, \ldots, X_4\}$, let



One can show that $K_t^2 = -\text{Id.}$

$\textbf{Dimension} \ 4$

Then the Nijenhuis-tensor of K_t is determined by

Then the Nijenhuis-tensor of K_t is determined by

$$\begin{split} &N_t(X_1, X_2) = \frac{\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2}{t} X_1 \\ &N_t(X_1, X_3) = \frac{2(2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2)}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)t} X_1 \\ &N_t(X_1, X_4) = -\left(\frac{\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2}{t^2}\right) X_1 + \left(\frac{2(2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2)}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)t}\right) X_2 - \left(\frac{\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2}{t}\right) X_3 \\ &N_t(X_2, X_3) = \left(\frac{2(\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2)}{t^2}\right) X_1 - \left(\frac{2(2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2)}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)t}\right) X_2 + \left(\frac{\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2}{t}\right) X_3 \\ &N_t(X_2, X_4) = \left(\frac{(2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2)}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)t^2}\right) X_2 - \left(\frac{\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2}{2t^2}\right) X_3 \\ &N_t(X_3, X_4) = \left(2\frac{(2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2)^2}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^{2t^2}}\right) X_2 - \left(\frac{(\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2)^2}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)t^2}\right) X_3. \end{split}$$

Then the Nijenhuis-tensor of K_t is determined by

$$\begin{split} N_t(X_1, X_2) &= \frac{\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2}{t} X_1 \\ N_t(X_1, X_3) &= \frac{2(2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2)}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)t} X_1 \\ N_t(X_1, X_4) &= -\left(\frac{\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2}{t^2}\right) X_1 + \left(\frac{2(2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2)}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)t}\right) X_2 - \left(\frac{\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2}{t}\right) X_3 \\ N_t(X_2, X_3) &= \left(\frac{2(\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2)}{t^2}\right) X_1 - \left(\frac{2(2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2)}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)t}\right) X_2 + \left(\frac{\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2}{t}\right) X_3 \\ N_t(X_2, X_4) &= \left(\frac{(2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2)}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)t^2}\right) X_2 - \left(\frac{\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2}{2t^2}\right) X_3 \\ N_t(X_3, X_4) &= \left(2\frac{(2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2)^2}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2t^2}\right) X_2 - \left(\frac{(\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2)^2}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)t^2}\right) X_3. \end{split}$$

Then, for each non-zero and distinct λ_1, λ_2 , $N_t \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$.

Then the Nijenhuis-tensor of K_t is determined by

$$\begin{split} N_t(X_1, X_2) &= \frac{\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2}{t} X_1 \\ N_t(X_1, X_3) &= \frac{2(2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2)}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)t} X_1 \\ N_t(X_1, X_4) &= -\left(\frac{\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2}{t^2}\right) X_1 + \left(\frac{2(2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2)}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)t}\right) X_2 - \left(\frac{\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2}{t}\right) X_3 \\ N_t(X_2, X_3) &= \left(\frac{2(\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2)}{t^2}\right) X_1 - \left(\frac{2(2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2)}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)t}\right) X_2 + \left(\frac{\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2}{t}\right) X_3 \\ N_t(X_2, X_4) &= \left(\frac{(2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2)}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)t^2}\right) X_2 - \left(\frac{\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2}{2t^2}\right) X_3 \\ N_t(X_3, X_4) &= \left(2\frac{(2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2)^2}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2t^2}\right) X_2 - \left(\frac{(\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2)^2}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)t^2}\right) X_3. \end{split}$$

Then, for each non-zero and distinct λ_1, λ_2 , $N_t \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$.